Exploring the sensitivities atmospheric δ^{13} C of CO₂ measurements to constrain biomes' drought stress

Bharat Rastogi, Caroline B Alden, John B. Miller



ESMs divergence in present day NEE reflects lack of understanding in carbon-water coupling

SCIENTIFIC **REPORTS**

OPEN

Received: 14 November 2018

Accepted: 23 January 2019

Land carbon models underestimate the severity and duration of drought's impact on plant productivity Published online: 26 February 2019

Hannah R. Kolus¹, Deborah N. Huntzinger¹, Christopher R. Schwalm², Joshua B. Fisher³, Nicholas McKay 1, Yuanyuan Fang 1, Anna M. Michalak 1, Kevin Schaefer⁵, Yaxing Wei 6, Benjamin Poulter 17, Jiafu Mao 18, Nicholas C. Parazoo³ & Xiaoying Shi⁸



Can atmospheric measurements provide integral constraints on plant moisture stress?



Model Framework





Goals

FLEXPART (0.5 °C x 0.5 °C x 3 hrs)

Create a synthetic data experiment to test

- Signal to Noise
- Theoretical vs actual (still theoretical) sensitivities
- Exclude complicated terms!



Step 1: Perform a CO2 inversion (equation 1)



Change in atmospheric δ^{13} C is a function of δ^{13} C $_{bio}$ and dependent on NEE and H







Tightening the model's ability to fit the data partially improves truth recovery











Conclusions

- The sensitivity of atmospheric δ^{13} C is contingent on the magnitude of (not the change in!) NEE
- Droughts reduce NEE and therefore also the sensitivity of $\,\delta^{13}C$ to moisture stress
- Using a high resolution regional OSSE, we find that the measurement network partially helps overcome these theoretical limitations
- Data density is important!



-2.0 -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 [‰]